UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Nebraska

United States of Ameri	ca	
v.)
Jose Lopez-Aispuro) Case No: 8:09CR376
) USM No: 23235-047
Date of Original Judgment:	05/18/2010)
Date of Previous Amended Judgment:		David R. Stickman
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)		Defendant's Attorney
ORDER RECAR	DING MOTI	ON FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
		0 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
		10 0.000 3 0002(0)(2)
§ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term subsequently been lowered and made ro § 994(u), and having considered such materials.	of imprisonment etroactive by the I notion, and taking	ctor of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. timposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. g into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 a), to the extent that they are applicable,
		nt's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in
the last judgment issued) of		months is reduced to
(Co	mplete Parts I and II	I of Page 2 when motion is granted)
Everant as othornica muovidad, all muovi	sions of the judge	ment dated 05/18/2010 shall remain in effect
Except as otherwise provided, all provi	sions of the judgi	ment dated 05/18/2010 shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
0.1. D. 40/00/0045		
Order Date: 12/28/2015		/ years sittle and
		Juage s signature
Effective Date:		Laurie Smith Camp, Chief U.S. District Judge
(if different from order date	<u></u>	Printed name and title

This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal. (Not for Public Disclosure)

Jose Lopez-Aispuro	
DEFENDANT:	
CASE NUMBER: 8:09CR376	
DISTRICT: District of Nebraska	
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Previous Total Offense Level:	Amended Total Offense Level:
Criminal History Category:	Criminal History Category:
Previous Guideline Range: tomontl	ns Amended Guideline Range: to months
time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistar	the range. Is than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the accedeparture or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence
is comparably less than the amended guideline range The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline	

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

It's ordered that because the Defendant was sentenced to the statutory mandatory minimum sentence and therefore not eligible for a sentence reduction pursuant to Amendment 782, the Defendant's pro se Motion to Reduce Sentence - USSC Amendment (Filing No. 41) is denied; and the Federal Public Defender's Motion to Withdraw (Filing No. 47) is granted.